### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF PURCHASE PUBLIC

SERVICE CORPORATION D/B/A CARDINAL GROUP

FOR A RATE ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO THE

ALTERNATIVE RATE FILING PROCEDURE FOR

SMALL UTILITIES

)

CASE NO. 95-081

### ORDER

On March 2, 1995, Purchase Public Service Corporation d/b/a Cardinal Group ("Cardinal") filed an application for Commission approval of a proposed increase in its rates for sewer service pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076. On May 17, 1995, an Order was issued by the Commission giving all parties 15 days in which to respond to the attached staff report. Comments were received from the intervenors, Mr. Robert French and Mrs. Clifford Harris. On June 30, 1995, the Commission issued an Order which denied the rates proposed by Cardinal, but which granted the rate increase recommended in the staff report.

On July 17, 1995, pursuant to KRS 278.400, an Application for Rehearing was filed by counsel, Hon. George Long, purportedly on behalf of the intervenors, Mr. French and Mrs. Harris. (Attachment 1). Based on this application, rehearing was granted by the Commission on August 4, 1995. On September 14, 1995, the Commission was informed by Mr. French that he was not represented by Mr. Long. (Attachment 2). On October 2, 1995, the Commission was informed by Mrs. Harris that she was not represented by Mr. Long. (Attachment 3). Also on October 2, 1995, Mr. Long informed

the Commission that he was attempting to resolve the question concerning his representation. (Attachment 4).

Due to the apparent confusion, by Order of the Commission dated October 12, 1995, the parties in question were given fifteen days to clarify counsel's representation. During this time, the Commission received a letter from Mr. French reconfirming that Mr. Long was not representing him as counsel in this case. (Attachment 5). According to Mr. French:

Mr. Long was contacted at the beginning by Mr. Tim Nuckolls for representation in regard to this case. Later, I decided that Mr. Long would not represent me. Mr. Long responded to this case in good faith per letter to you dated July 14, 1995. (Application for Rehearing). I regret any inconvenience to Mr. Long in regard to this case.

No further correspondence was received from Mrs. Harris concerning Mr. Long's representation. The Commission must assume that Mrs. Harris' position has not changed from her earlier letter stating that Mr. Long is not representing her as counsel. Regardless, on November 6, 1995, the Commission received a Notice of Withdrawal from Mr. Long stating that he represents neither Mr. French or Mrs. Harris. (Attachment 6). Mr. Long therefore does not represent a party to this proceeding. Mr. Nuckolls, referred to by Mr. French in his most recent letter, is not a party to this proceeding nor does he have any interest in this proceeding.

According to CR 17.01, "Every action shall be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest . . . . " The real party in

interest is the party who, by substantive law, possesses the right sought to be enforced.

In order to support an action, the interest of the party plaintiff must be present or substantial interest, as distinguished from a mere expectancy. 59 Am.Jur.2d, Parties, Section 28. A party plaintiff must have a real, direct, present and substantial right or interest in the subject matter of the controversy. 67 C.J.S. Parties Section 6 [1950 ed.]. Winn v. First Bank of Irvington, Ky.App., 581 S.W.2d 21, 23 (1978).

Rehearing was granted by the Commission on the mistaken belief that Mr. Long represented the intervenors, both of whom were real parties in interest, when in fact he did not. As no real party in interest requested rehearing in this case within the time allowed by statute, rehearing should never have been granted. This case, currently before the Commission on rehearing, should therefore be dismissed with prejudice.

While the intervenors' interest and participation have been appreciated, rehearing was not properly requested. Furthermore, their concerns were unsupported by the evidence. The rates established by the Order of June 30, 1995, as corrected in Appendix A to be entered nunc pro tunc, attached hereto and incorporated herein, are the fair, just, and reasonable rates for Cardinal. Due to a typographical error, the incorrect rates were contained in the appendix attached to the June 30, 1995, Order.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. This case, currently before the Commission on rehearing, is dismissed with prejudice.

- 2. The rates contained in Appendix A are approved nunc protunc for service rendered by Cardinal on and after June 30, 1995.
- 3. Any rates charged over and above the rates contained in Appendix A for service rendered by Cardinal since June 30, 1995, shall be credited to the customers' accounts.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 27th day of November, 1995.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Vice Chairman

Commissioner

Chairman Overbey dissents from the decision of the Commission in this case.

George Edward Ov

Chairman

ATTEST:

Executive Director

#### APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 95-081 DATED NOVEMBER 27, 1995.

The following rates and charges are prescribed for the customers in the area served by Purchase Public Service Corporation d/b/a Cardinal Group. All other rates and charges not specifically mentioned herein shall remain the same as those in effect under authority of this Commission prior to the effective date of this Order.

### CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATION

Residential Customers

\$29.25 per Month

Commercial Customers

29.25 Residential Equivalent

<sup>\*</sup>Commercial customers are billed at the residential rate for each residential equivalent, or 12,000 gallons. For example, a commercial customer who used 26,000 gallons would be billed at a rate of 2.17 times the residential rate.

# RECEIVED

# JUL 17 1995

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF PURCHASE PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION D/B/A CARDINAL GROUP FOR A RATE ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO THE ALTERNATIVE RATE FILING PROCEDURE FOR SMALL UTILITIES

CASE NAMEONEIVED

11 1995

### APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

GENERAL COUNSEL

COME Robert French and Mrs. Clifford Harris, by and through their attorney, George E. Long, II, pursuant to KRS 278.400, and file their Application for a Rehearing of the rates adopted in that order dated June 30, 1995.

As the Commission is aware, due to a misunderstanding of French and Harris, they were denied, not intentionally, but as a matter of circumstance, the right to present any evidence before the Commission.

First, they requested a hearing through a letter written by Mr. Tim Nuckolls on their behalf, which was rejected because Mr. Nuckolls is not authorized to make a presentation before the Commission.

Second, Mr. Don Mills, Executive Director, issued an order allowing an additional seven (7) days to provide additional comments or to request a hearing, which was forwarded to French and Harris. Simultaneously a letter was forwarded to Nuckolls advising him that he was not authorized to represent Harris and French before the Commission, but that they would have additional time to secure counsel to make such a request. The letter going to Mr. Nuckolls had no time limitation and he was not aware of the seven (7) days which was set out in the letter to French and Harris. Mrs. Harris was on

vacation when the order was set out and did not actually receive it until after the seven (7) days had elapsed.

WHEREFORE, Robert French and Mrs. Clifford Harris respectfully request the Commission to arrange for an informal hearing in order to allow them to present evidence before the Commission that they believe would effect the order and rate structure adopted by the Commission on June 30, 1995.

EXECUTED this 14th day of July, 1995.

GEORGE E LONG II Attorney At Law P. O. Box 447

Benton, Kentucky 42025 (502) 527-5500

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing Application for Rehearing was served by mailing same to the Hon. Don Mills, Executive Director, Public Service Commission, P. O. box 615, Frankfort KY 40602, on this the 14th day of July, 1995.

GRORGE E. LONG TT

cg16

September 9, 1995

Don Mills
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
730 Schenkel Lane
Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No.95-081

RECEIVED

SEP 14 1995

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Dear Mr. Mills:

I am replying to the letter I received from you dated August 29, 1995. Mr. George Long, Esq. will not be representing me as counsel in regard to this case. I was under the assumption the Public Service Commission attorney would represent the people of Golden Acres Subdivision. If this is not true, the situation seems to be "Taxation without Representation". To restate what I said in a previous letter, the amount of increase is unfair to the homeowners of Golden Acres Subdivision.

Robert E. French
328 Golden Acres Loop
Calvert City, KY 42029

RECEIVED

SEP 1 5 1995

GENERAL COUNSEL

faducah Ky 42001. Re. Cace 12 95-081 Weer clan Mills, In exiting to infurnilyace that George & Lang is not representing ne (malle Harris) as Counsel. This should clarify the Matter for the Comission Sincerely, Mrs. Malle Harris 1510 Kimbull DRIVE Paducat, ky 4500)

RECEIVED

OCT 05 1995

GENERAL COUNSEL

GEORGE E. LONG. II

RECEIVE

OCT 02 1995

PUBLIC SELLY, ('AX
COMMISSING) 127-9255

PHONE (502) 527-5500

Attorney-at-Law 908 POPLAR STREET P. O. BOX 447 BENTON, KENTUCKY 42025

JEFFREY G EDWARDS OF COUNSEL

September 29, 1995

Hon, Don Mills, Executive Director Public Service Commission 730 Schenkel Lane P. O. Box 615 Frankfort KY 40602

In Re: Case No. 95-081

Dear Mr. Mills:

In response to your letter of September 26, 1995, please be advised that I have in my file a limited letter of representation purportedly signed by Mrs. Clifford Harris and Mr. Robert French. I agreed to the limited representation when Mr. Tim Nuckolls advised me he had received letters to the effect that he could not file any appearance or documents in this case for Mrs. Harris and Mr. French. I advised Mr. Nuckolls I would only file documents on their behalf if they would execute a representation agreement, which was returned to me by Mr. Nuckolis signed by Mr. French and Mrs. Harris.

If Mr. French does not want me to represent himin this matter, he certainly has that right, but I will require some writing from him to that effect. By copy of this letter I am sending Mr. French a copy of the letter forwarded to me with the signature of Robert French on it and request him to clarify this matter with a letter to both of us.

I am also forwarding a copy of the representation agreement to Mrs. Clifford Harris so she can review it in determining her response to your letter of September 26, 1995. I certainly want this question resolved before I take any further action or file any other documents on behalf of Mr. French and Mrs. Harris in this case. Since the representation agreement is between me and Mr. French and Mrs. Harris, I am only forwarding them a copy of that agreement. I look forward to clearing this matter up without delay.

Very/truiv vettra

GEL:cg22

Mrs. Clifford Harris w/Enclosures CC Mr. Robert French w/Enclosures

Mr. Tim Nuckolls w/Enclosure

RECE! October 16, 1995 OCT 19 1995 my lon miles PUBLIC BEHVIOL Executive Director RECEIVED Public Service Comme 730 Schubel Land OCT 20 1995 GENERAL COUNSEL Frankfart, Ky. 40602 Re' Case Tra 9500 Dea me mille: This is to reconfirm that me George ong Esquillemat be representing me as council in read to the close me Long was writed at the figurie by me Tim nucholla for representation in regard to the case take I decided that me Tongueuld not represent me The tong responded to the case in good Soith per letter to you dated July 14.199 (application for Releaving). I regul any out & Freach Capies to: 7 ATTACHMENT 5

### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

RECEIVED

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION NOV O

GENERAL COUNSEL

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF PURCHASE PUBLIC	)		
SERVICE CORPORATION D/B/A CARDINAL	)		
GROUP FOR A RATE ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT	)	CASE NO.	95-081
TO THE ALTERNATIVE RATE FILING	)		
PROCEDURE FOR SMALL LITILITIES	Š		

# NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF A TTORNEY GEORGE E. LONG. II

PLEASE take notice that the undersigned is hereby withdrawing as attorney of record in this matter for the Intervenors, Robert French and Mrs. Clifford Harris, for the following reasons:

- 1. The Intervenor, Robert French, on October 16, 1995, filed a statement with the PSC stating that he no longer wanted representation in this case.
- 2. That since the Intervenor, Mrs. Clifford Harris, signed a representation agreement with the undersigned, she has failed to respond to requests from the undersigned and the PSC concerning representation in this case. As a result of her refusal to correspond or otherwise communicate with the undersigned, it will not be possible to continue to represent her.

EXECUTED this 34 day of November, 1995.

GEORGE E. LONG II

Attorney At Law P. O. Box 447

Benton, Kentucky 42025

(502) 527-5500

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing was served by mailing a true and correct copy of same to the Hon. Don Mills, Executive Director, Public Service Commission, P. O. Box 615, Frankfort, Kentucky, Hon. John Steffen, Public Service Commission, P. O. Box 615, Frankfort, Kentucky, Mr. Robert E. French, 328 Golden Acres Loop, Calvert City, Kentucky 42029, Mrs. Clifford Harris, 1510 Kimbrell Drive, Paducah, Kentucky 42001, on this the 2 day of November, 1995.

cg24